

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,640	04/22/2005	Israel Hirshberg		9066
60333 EDWIN D. SC	60333 7590 03/13/2009 FDWIN D. SCHINDLER		EXAMINER	
FIVE HIRSCH AVENUE			DONLON, RYAN D	
P.O. BOX 966 CORAM, NY 11727-0966			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Continu	11/2/ 0/00		3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532.640 HIRSHBERG, ISRAEL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RYAN D. DONLON 3695 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Art Unit: 3695

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Objections

 Claims 4-15 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only, and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).
 Accordingly, the claims 4-15 not been further treated on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected because it is unclear which statutory category the invention is.
  The claim contains no clear indication of a statutory category in that there is a list component, implying a machine, and an insuring component implying a method. Proper clarification is necessary.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As an initial matter, the United States Constitution under Art. I, Section, cl. 8 gave Congress the power to "promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries". In carrying out

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/532,640

Art Unit: 3695

this power, Congress authorized under 35 U.S.C. § 101 a grant of a patent to "[w]hoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof".

- 5. Claims 1-3 are directed to an "insurance plan", and therefore not directed to a process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter. Thus claims 1-15 are rejected as being non-statutory since the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- Claims 1-3 are further rejected, because the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claimed invention as a whole encompasses a human being (See MPEP § 2105).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated LifeSharers, archived on or before August 4, 2002 by www.Archive.org.
- 9. As per claim 1:

LifeSharers discloses an insurance plan comprising a list of people who are committed to donate own organs in case of their death, to people included in the list,

Art Unit: 3695

thus a listed person is an organ insured person (see at least About LifeSharers).

# 10. As per claim 2:

LifeSharers discloses an insurance plan according to claim 1, wherein each committed person has a priority over non-listed person, in getting organ for himself from the group of people registered in the list (see at least About LifeSharers).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   LifeSharers as applied to claims 1 to 2 above.

## As per claim 3:

LifeSharers does not disclose an insurance plan according to claims 1 to 2, wherein organs donated by the listed people and not needed by the people in the List, would be given to people who purchased lower priority organ insurance.

14. LifeSharers does disclose an insurance plan wherein organs donated by the listed people and not needed by the people on the list would be given to people who have lower priority (see at least About LifeSharers paragraph 3).

Art Unit: 3695

15. However it would have been obvious to have the recipients be on a lower priority organ insurance because would act like an organ transplant waiting list, which was well known in the art at the time of the invention.

## Conclusion

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN D. DONLON whose telephone number is (571)270-3602. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 5:00om EST.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on (571) 272-6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3695

/R. D. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3695 February 23, 2009

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695